

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

MW

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/038,586 01/08/2002 Q67753 1442 Domenico Arabino **EXAMINER** 7590 03/30/2004 SUGHRUE, MION, ZINN, MACPEAK & SEAS, PLLC STRIMBU, GREGORY J 2100 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT Washington, DC 20037-3213 3634

DATE MAILED: 03/30/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		<b>A</b>	
	Application No. Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary	10/038,586	ARABINO, DOMENICO	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
•	Gregory J. Strimbu	3634	MU
The MAILING DATE of this communicatio Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence	address
, ,	DEDIVIQUET TO EVDIDE 2 MA		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication  - If the period for reply specified above, it sets than thirty (30) days  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ION.  FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a recon.  , a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered to THS from the mailing date of the SANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	is communication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	23 December 2003.		
· <u> </u>	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for al		ers, prosecution as to	the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice un	·	•	
Disposition of Claims	·		
4) Claim(s) 4 is/are pending in the application	ın.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wit			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) 4 is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	and/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>23 December 200</u>		objected to by the Ex	aminer.
Applicant may not request that any objection t		•	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the c	•		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	•	•	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo	reign priority under 35 U.S.C. &	119(a)-(d) or (f)	
a)⊠ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	reigh phonty under 33 0.3.C. §	1 19(a)-(u) or (1).	
1.  Certified copies of the priority docu	ments have been received	•	
2. Certified copies of the priority docu		nnlication No	,
3. Copies of the certified copies of the	·	· · · — — —	nal Stane
application from the International B	•	TOOCIVEE III IIIIS TEELIOI	iai Glago
* See the attached detailed Office action for	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	received.	
	2222 300.00 1000		
Attachment(c)			
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	A) Intonious S	Summary (PTO-413)	
<ul> <li>7) Notice of References Cited (P10-692)</li> <li>2) Discussion of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT0-94)</li> </ul>		s)/Mail Date	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S	SB/08) 5) D Notice of In	nformal Patent Application (	PTO-152)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) [] Other:	<b>_</b> ∙	

Application/Control Number: 10/038,586

Art Unit: 3634

### **Drawings**

The proposed drawing correction and/or the proposed substitute sheets of drawings, filed on September 20, 2002 and December 23, 2003 have been approved.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The claim is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the admitted prior art in figure 1 in view of Griesbach et al. The admitted prior art in figure 1 discloses a weather strip 1 for an edge 6 of an opening adapted to be closed by a motor driven closure element 8, the weather strip having a U shaped configuration 2 comprised of a transverse base member (not numbered, but seen in figure 1) and a pair of parallel gripping elements (not numbered, but seen in figure 1) extending from opposite ends of the transverse base portion in parallel relationship to each other, a wall member 12 disposed in spaced apart parallel relation to the transverse base portion and secured to the transverse base portion at opposite ends thereof to define a compartment 13, a pressure sensitive element 14 disposed in the compartment and comprised of a pair of flexible electrically conductive strips separated by electrically insulating elements disposed between lateral longitudinal edges of the strips, a longitudinal projection 19 protruding centrally from the wall member 12 into the

Application/Control Number: 10/038,586 Page 3

Art Unit: 3634

relation to the longitudinal edges of the pressure sensitive element to maintain the pressure sensitive element spaced from the wall member 12 whereby upon application of pressure to the compartment by an obstruction located between the closure element and the weather strip the pressure sensitive element will be deformed about the projection as a result of the fixed central location of the projection in relation to the pressure sensitive element to bring the electrically conductive strips into contact with each other. The admitted prior art in figure 1 is silent concerning the projection being mounted on the transverse base member.

However, Griesbach et al., in figure 2, discloses a pressure sensitive switch comprising a base member 26 having a projection 38 to maintain a pressure sensitive element 32 spaced from the transverse base portion and so that the pressure sensitive element will always be deformed about the projection 38.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide the admitted prior art in figure 1 a protrusion on the base member, as taught by Griesbach et al., to allow for the accurate transmission of force to the pressure sensor (see column 6, lines 23-24) or because it has been held that the mere reversal of the essential working parts of a device involves no more than routine skill in the art. *In re Einstein*, 8 USPQ 167.

### Response to Arguments

Application/Control Number: 10/038,586

Art Unit: 3634

Applicant's arguments submitted December 23, 2003 have been considered but are not persuasive.

It should first be noted that the applicant's arguments against the references individually, i.e., Griesbach et al., one cannot show nonobviousness by attacking references individually where the rejections are based on combinations of references. See *In re Keller*, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981); *In re Merck & Co.*, 800 F.2d 1091, 231 USPQ 375 (Fed. Cir. 1986). Although Griesbach et al. functions in different manner than the claimed invention, it teaches the use of the projection 38 to enhance the operation of the pressure sensitive element 32. Therefore, when one with ordinary skill in the art considers the teachings of both the admitted prior art in figure 1 and Griesbach et al., he or she would be motivated to place the projection 19 of figure 1 on the base of figure 1 to enhance the operation of the pressure sensitive element, as taught by Griesbach et al. Merely because Griesbach et al. operates in a different manner from the present invention does not prevent one of ordinary skill in the art from combining the beneficial teachings of Griesbach et al. with the admitted prior art of figure 1.

#### Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gregory J. Strimbu whose telephone number is 703-305-3979. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 8:00 to 4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Daniel P. Stodola can be reached on 703-308-2686. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-3597 for regular communications and 703-305-3597 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-

2168

Gregory J. Strimbu Primary Examiner Art Unit 3634

March 25, 2004